

# Cache Coherency & Memory Model in RDMA-Backed Software-Coherent DSM

Zhengyi Chen

January 31, 2024

# Table of Contents

1. Overview

2. Design

3. Progress

4. Future Work

# 1. Overview

- ▶ DSM used to be constrained by NIC bandwidth & transfer rate (e.g., during the 1990s).
- ▶ The advent of high(er) transfer rate NICs allows the DSM idea to be revived.
- ▶ Orthogonally, hardware acceleration resources are scarce and highly valuable.
  - ▶ Traditional Scheduling Mechanisms within a Cluster cannot dynamically allocate hardware accelerators without high overhead.
- ▶ Ideally, via high-speed NICs, hardware accelerator could be statically allocated such that:
  - ▶ Every node have access to the hardware accelerator node in a time-shared fashion.
  - ▶ Accelerator-attached node can access remote memory much like attaching accelerator over, say, PCIe.

# Heterogeneous Memory Management

- ▶ **HMM** facilitates shared address space and transparent data migration between CPU and peripherals. Specifically:
  - ▶ HMM provides interface for duplicating the CPU page table with that of the device's, which are transparently synchronized.
  - ▶ It also provides corresponding `struct page` representation of device memory pages, which are faulted between the CPU and device.
- ▶ Theoretically, this should allow for devices in remote nodes to perform HMM using the DMA-capable NIC as a “proxy HMM device”.
- ▶ Details of implementation of DSM-over-HMM is beyond this thesis's scope.
  - ▶ This thesis focuses on studying and implementing cache coherency and later, memory model for the DSM subsystem of this wider, ongoing project.

## Cache Coherency, and Why It Matters Here

- ▶ Cache-incoherent RDMA (e.g., mlx) performs DMA without synchronization with CPU cache.
- ▶ We cannot assume MMU to magically maintain coherence.
  - ▶ This seems the case for x86\_64 (cache-coherent DMA), but not ARM64.
- ▶ At transportation time:
  - ▶ Send to remote: flushes cache into memory before posting send message.
  - ▶ Receive from remote: invalidate cache entry after worked recv message.
- ▶ Example: Linux kernel tree, *smbdirect* implementation.
  - ▶ *smbdirect* opportunistically establish SMB over RDMA-capable network.
  - ▶ `smbd_post_send` cleans cache entry prior to posting send request.
  - ▶ `recv_done` invalidates cache entry after exiting softirq for recv request (as callback from RDMA driver).

## Consistency Model and Protocol


- ▶ Majority of DSM literatures apply **release consistency** as the system's memory model.
- ▶ With **single-writer** protocol, however, the memory model can be strengthened with little increase in code complexity.
  - ▶ *DSPM*[1], for example, achieves a *de-facto* TSO consistency from its multi-writer release consistency counterpart – assuming correct memory barriers within each node's CPU, distributed writes are never reordered, and distributed reads can overtake writes.
  - ▶ Consequently, one can easily achieve sequential consistency by designating the entire write-access duration as a critical section.
- ▶ HMM's "CPU-or-device" data migration model also strongly implies a single-writer consistency protocol.

## 2. Design

- ▶ Designing a DSM necessitates designing:
  - ▶ Consistency Model.
  - ▶ Coherence Protocol and State Machine.
  - ▶ Access Control.
- ▶ Care needs to be taken to ensure that the in-kernel implementation is:
  - ▶ Correct,
  - ▶ Performant,
  - ▶ Exploits RDMA's traits.

# Protocol Excerpt: Write-Invalidate

P1: Allocated X — PT Home; Access Ctrl.



The  $I$ -state indicates a transitional state for some shared page.

## Consistency Model: TSO

- ▶ Total Store Ordering allows Reads to bypass Stores.
- ▶ Assuming correct use of node-local synchronization on all nodes, applying TSO in a home-based DSM allows for:
  - ▶ When another node tries to read T-page from access-control node:  $W \rightarrow R$  violation.
  - ▶ When another node tries to read S-page from data-provider nodes:  $W \rightarrow R$  violation (if e.g., the invalidation message from access-control node was received afterwards).
  - ▶ Data-provider and access-control nodes work on one request at a time: no  $R \rightarrow W$  violation.
  - ▶ Write-accesses serialized at access-control node: no  $W \rightarrow W$  violation.

## Consistency Model: Strengthen to Sequential


- ▶ By corollary, can reverse the previous page's statements to strengthen to sequential consistency:
  - ▶ Disallow T-pages from being serviced until new page content is installed: lengthens critical section.
  - ▶ Abolish data-provider nodes: access-control nodes become bottleneck.

## Coherence Protocol: Possible Features

- ▶ Multi-data-provider Protocol: Instead of having one data-provider, have multiple data-provider nodes that are automatically write-back to prevent network bottleneck.
  - ▶ Data provider nodes may be dynamically assigned.
  - ▶ Extra metadata can limit scalability.
- ▶ Auto-share: likewise, write-back pages to non-data-provider nodes to take advantage of 1-sided communications.
- ▶ Request aggregation: aggregate RDMA transfers for optimal transfer performance.
  - ▶ Need to be coherent with program sequence!
  - ▶ Enables write-request merging.

# Stateful Nodes & Transitions (Provisional)

- Nodes (e.g., within the cluster) become tightly bound with the properties of each shared page(s).



- \* Cache entries can be Valid / Invalid.

## Stateful Nodes & Transitions (Provisional) (Cont.)

- ▶ MN (Manager Nodes): Provide access-control and (fallback) data-provision.
- ▶ HN (Home Nodes): Provide data-provision. Can be write-back or write-invalidate.
- ▶ SN (Sharer Nodes): Share data within a reader-only “epoch”. Can be write-back or write-invalidate.
- ▶ NSN (Non-sharer Nodes): Nodes in network without sharing the particular page(s).
- ▶ CN (Commit Node): Node that acquired the single-writer access to the shared page.
- ▶ Message variants are not finalized:
  - ▶ Goal: Composable message chains that allow for “piggy-backing” of multiple procedures.

## Stateful Nodes: Transition Paths

- ▶ Filled line transitions indicate local requests remote to perform state transition.
- ▶ Dashed line transitions indicate local implicitly transitions prior to sending request to remote.
- ▶ *Non-committal* path concerns about read-only and copy-on-write sharing. Sharers cannot make global modification to cached local data.
- ▶ *Invalidation* path is duo with commit operations (due to write-invalidation).
- ▶ *Committal* path concerns about global write sharing. Only one writer is allowed to write and commit at one time.
- ▶ Problem: How exactly to integrate RDMA remote read/write into this?

### 3. Progress

- ▶ Goal: in-kernel implementation of software cache-coherency via non-coherent RDMA hardware.
- ▶ Optimistic Goal: in-kernel implementation of memory model in DSM.
- ▶ Progress: studied and isolated mechanism for data cache invalidation/flushing in ARM64, which allows the DSM to run in heterogeneous ISA clusters.
- ▶ Integration with kernel & main DSM kernel module remains at hand: is it absolutely necessary to export new symbols for such an important operation?

# On-demand Coherency in ARM64

- ▶ ARMv8 defines two levels of cache coherence:
  - ▶ *Point-of-Unification*: Within a core, instruction cache, data cache, and TLB all agree in the copy seen for a particular address.
    - ▶ Notably, changing PTE requires PoU.
  - ▶ *Point-of-Coherence*: Between all DMA-capable peripherals (CPU or otherwise), they all agree in the copy seen for a particular address.

For this thesis's purposes, strive for PoC.

- ▶ Operations to achieve the latter are encapsulated in the Linux kernel as `(d|i)cache_(clean|inval)_poc`.
  - ▶ Declared under `arch/arm64/include/asm/cacheflush.h`.
  - ▶ Defined in `arch/arm64/mm/cache.S`.
  - ▶ Takes virtual address wrt. *current* address space to writeback/invalidate cache entries.
  - ▶ Problem: Can only be called in process context (for userspace virtual addresses) or in all contexts (for kernel virtual addresses)?

# Kernel Patch for On-demand Coherency

- ▶ Problem: These symbols are not exported – not intended for driver use.
- ▶ Temporary solution: re-export them via patching the kernel.
  - ▶ Note: Kernel version v6.7.0
  - ▶ Longish-term solution: arrange kernel module code in a way that takes advantage of existing driver API (e.g., via DMA API, for example *smbdirect*).
- ▶ Implements wrapper function `__dcache_clean_poc` to re-export `dcache_clean_poc` into driver namespace.
- ▶ Exports symbol into separate header file.

## Proof-of-Concept Kernel Module

- ▶ Dynamically allocates GFP\_USER pages and remaps to userspace on `mmap`.
  - ▶ GFP\_USER so (for convenience) pages can be directly addressable in kernelspace (via kernel page table).
  - ▶ Pages are lazily allocated and shared between multiple processes (i.e., user address spaces).
  - ▶ Exposed as character device `/dev/my_shmem`.
- ▶ Around 300+ LoC.
- ▶ Problem: flawed premise for testing cache writeback!
  - ▶ Summary: CPU datapath differs from DMA datapath, common cache coherency maintenance operations are already performed in common file/virtual memory area operation code.
  - ▶ Idea: perform cache write-back on `vm_ops->close`.
  - ▶ Reality: virtual memory area already cleaned from cache and removed from address space prior to calling `vm_ops->close`.
  - ▶ Fix: Implement custom `ioctl`?

## 4. Future Work

1. Incorporate cache coherence mechanism into the larger project.
2. Implement memory model within the larger project. This involves:
  - ▶ Making adjustment to message type and structure specifications for better inter-operation with RDMA.
  - ▶ Implement memory model programmatically.

## References

[1] Yizhou Shan, Shin-Yeh Tsai, and Yiying Zhang. “Distributed shared persistent memory”. In: *Proceedings of the 2017 Symposium on Cloud Computing*. 2017, pp. 323–337.